UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/918,499	08/01/2001	Kenzo Sckiguchi	2922.0045	3225
****	7590 10/24/200 CELLA HARPER &	EXAMINER		
30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA			HUNTSINGER, PETER K	
NEW YORK, NY 10112			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2625	
			V.W.B.TB	DEL MIDDUALO DE
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/24/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/918,499	SEKIGUCHI, KENZO			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Peter K. Huntsinger	2625			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
Period for Reply	/ IS SET TO EVOIDE 2 MONTU	E) OR TUIRTY (20) DAVE			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 Se	eptember 2007.				
· <u> </u>	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	•				
6) Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r alaction requirement				
are subject to restriction and/or	r, election requirement.				
Application Papers	•				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO 413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5)	atent Application			

Art Unit: 2625

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 9/13/07 has been entered.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments filed 8/21/07 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The applicant argues on pages 11-13 of the response in essence that:

The combination of Malik '286 and Shibata '955 does not teach outputting a report including information for specifying a conversion method for converting the size of the input image data into the smaller size.

a. Malik '286 discloses converting a capacity of the image data into a smaller capacity by dividing one email into multiple emails (col. 8, lines 18-28). Shibata '955 teaches outputting a report that includes each transmission that was sent (Fig. 8. col. 12, lines 40-52, communication operations performed). For the combination of Malik '286 and Shibata '955, each email that is divided in the system of Malik '286 would appear on the report of Shibata '955. Therefore, the

Art Unit: 2625

report would include information (indication of multiple transmissions) for specifying a conversion method (email dividing) for converting the size of the input image data into a smaller size.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1, 2, 4-6, 9-13, 15-17 and 20-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Malik '286 and Shibata '955.

Referring to claim 1, Malik '286 discloses a communication apparatus comprising: connecting means for connecting the communication apparatus to a communication network containing an electronic mail exchange device (Network 13 of Fig. 1, col. 3-4, lines 54-67, 1-6); input means for inputting image data representing an image; transmitting means for transmitting an electronic mail, to which the image data inputted by said input means is attached, via said connecting means (col. 5, lines 8-19); receiving means for receiving an electronic mail for notifying an error via said connecting means; analyzing means for analyzing the electronic mail for notifying the error received by said receiving means; converting means for converting a capacity of the image data, inputted by said input means, into a smaller capacity according to an analysis result obtained by said analyzing means; and control means for carrying out a

Art Unit: 2625

controlling operation so as to retransmit the electronic mail, to which the image data with the capacity thereof converted by said converting means is attached, by said transmitting means (col. 7-8, lines 60-67, 1-17).

Malik '286 does not disclose expressly outputting a report after transmitting an electronic mail.

Shibata '955 discloses output means for outputting a report including at least a transmitting data (transmission date 61 of Fig. 8); a destination (destination 63 of Fig. 8) and information for specifying a conversion method used by said converting means in a case where a retransmitting of the electronic mail by said transmitting means has been carried out (col. 12, lines 40-52). The report shows multiple transmissions that have been made. A single email that is divided into multiple emails as disclosed by Malik '286 would appear as multiple transmissions on the report of Shibata '955.

At the time of the invention, it would have obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to output a report after transmission of an email. The motivation for doing so would have been to notify the user of the status of the email. Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Shibata '955 with Malik '286 to obtain the invention as specified in claim 1.

Referring to claim 2, Malik '286 discloses wherein said converting means converts the capacity of image data specified by the electronic mail analyzed by said analyzing means (col. 5, lines 8-19).

Art Unit: 2625

Referring to claim 4, Malik '286 discloses wherein said converting means reduces the capacity by reducing a size of an image represented by the image data inputted by said input means (col. 5, lines 8-19).

Referring to claim 5, Malik '286 discloses wherein said converting means reduces the capacity per electronic mail by dividing the image data inputted by said input means into a plurality of pieces (col. 8, lines 42-55).

Referring to claim 6, Malik '286 discloses wherein said converting means reduces the capacity by raising a compression rate of the image data inputted by said input means (col. 5, lines 8-19).

Referring to claim 9, Malik '286 discloses setting means for setting for said converting means one of a plurality of conversion methods to be used; and wherein said converting means converts the capacity by the conversion method set by said setting means (col. 8, lines 29-55).

Referring to claim 10, Malik '286 discloses wherein said converting means converts the capacity by using a combination of a plurality of converting methods (col. 8, lines 29-55).

Referring to claim 11, Malik '286 discloses wherein: said control means repeats the conversion by said converting means and the retransmission by said transmission means every time said receiving means receives an electronic mail for notifying an error (col. 7-8, lines 60-67, 1-17).

Referring to claim 12, see the rejection of claim 1 above.

Referring to claim 13, see the rejection of claim 2 above.

Art Unit: 2625

Referring to claim 15, see the rejection of claim 4 above.

Referring to claim 16, see the rejection of claim 5 above.

Referring to claim 17, see the rejection of claim 6 above.

Referring to claim 20, see the rejection of claim 9 above.

Referring to claim 21, see the rejection of claim 10 above.

Referring to claim 22, see the rejection of claim 11 above.

Referring to claim 23, see the rejection of claim 1 above.

5. Claim 3, 8, 14, and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Malik '286 and Shibata '955 as applied to claims 1 and 12 above, and further in view of Kodaira '183.

Referring to claims 3 and 14, Malik '286 discloses reducing the size of an image and utilizing file specific compression types, but does not disclose expressly lowering a resolution of an image.

Kodaira '183 discloses wherein a converting means reduces the capacity by lowering a resolution of an image represented by image data inputted by input means (col. 24, lines 31-44).

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to lower the resolution of an image in the system of Malik '286. The motivation for doing so would have been to reduce the size of the image file using a known image compression technique. Further, the commonly used image compression format JPEG compresses image data by lowering the resolution of an image. Therefore, it would

have been obvious to combine Kodaira '183 with Malik '286 and Shibata '955 to obtain the invention as in claims 3 and 14.

Referring to claims 8 and 19, Malik '286 discloses reducing the size of an image and utilizing file specific compression types, but does not disclose expressly converting multivalued image data into binary image data.

Kodaira '183 discloses wherein said converting means reduces the capacity by converting the image data which is multivalued image data, inputted by said input means, into binary image data (col. 24, lines 31-44).

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to convert mulivalued image data into binary image data. The motivation for doing so would have been to reduce the size of the image file using a known image compression technique. Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Kodaira '183 with Malik '286 and Shibata '955 to obtain the invention as in claims 8 and 19.

6. Claims 7 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Malik '286 and Shibata '955 as applied to claims 1 and 12 above, and further in view of Knowlton '389.

Referring to claims 7 and 18, Malik '286 discloses reducing the data size of an image by compressing the data but does not disclose converting color image data into black and white image data.

Art Unit: 2625

Knowlton '389 discloses wherein converting means reduces the capacity by converting image data which is color image data, inputted by input means, into black-and-white image data (col. 3, lines 4-13).

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to convert color data into black and white data in the system of Malik '286. The motivation for doing so would have been to reduce the size of an image. Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Knowlton '389 with Malik '286 and Shibata '955 to obtain the invention as in claims 7 and 18.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Peter K. Huntsinger whose telephone number is (571)272-7435. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Moe Aung can be reached on (571)272-7314. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2625

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

PKH

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER